

# DYNAMICAL BOREL–CANTELLI LEMMA FOR HYPERBOLIC SPACES

BY

FRANÇOIS MAUCOURANT

*U.M.P.A., 46, Allée d'Italie  
F-69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France  
e-mail: fmaucour@umpa.ens-lyon.fr*

## ABSTRACT

We prove that almost every (resp. almost no) geodesic rays in a finite volume hyperbolic manifold of real dimension  $n$  intersects for arbitrary large times  $t$  a decreasing family of balls of radius  $r_t$ , provided the integral  $\int_0^\infty r_t^{n-1} dt$  diverges (resp. converges).

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the shrinking target problem for the geodesic flow on hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume. Let  $V$  be a finite volume hyperbolic manifold (possibly complex, quaternionic or Cayley hyperbolic) of real dimension  $n$ . Write  $T^1V$  for the unitary tangent bundle over  $V$ ,  $\pi: T^1V \rightarrow V$  the canonical projection,  $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  the geodesic flow on  $T^1V$ ,  $\mu$  the Liouville measure on  $T^1V$ , and  $d$  the Riemannian distance on  $V$ . We are interested in the Liouville measure of the unit vectors that generate a geodesic ray that keeps intersecting a shrinking family of balls for arbitrary large times. We show

**THEOREM 1:** *Let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a decreasing family of closed balls in  $V$  (with respect to the metric  $d$ ), of radius  $(r_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Then for  $\mu$ -almost every (resp.  $\mu$ -almost no)  $v$  in  $T^1V$ , the set*

$$\{t \geq 0 : \pi(\phi^t v) \in B_t\}$$

---

Received May 6, 2004

is unbounded (resp. bounded) provided  $\int_0^\infty r_t^{n-1} dt$  diverges (resp. converges).

General criterions implying the dynamical Borel–Cantelli alternative have been proved in various settings; see Chernov and Kleinbock [CK01], Conze and Raugi [CR98] and [CR03], and Dolgopyat [Dol04].

As a consequence of Theorem 1, a generic geodesic ray approaches the point  $p$  at a speed which is of the order of  $t^{-1/(n-1)}$ . More precisely,

COROLLARY 1: For all  $p$  in  $V$  and  $\mu$ -almost every  $v$  in  $T^1V$ ,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\log d(p, \pi(\phi^t v))}{\log t} = \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

This is the analog of Sullivan’s *logarithm law for geodesics* (see [Su82], and also [KM99] in the context of symmetric spaces), when one considers balls in the manifold rather than horospherical neighborhoods of one cusp. When  $V$  is compact and of negative curvature, Hersensky and Paulin [HP01] provided sharp estimate of the Hausdorff dimension of geodesic rays that accumulate on a point  $p$  in  $V$  exponentially fast, depending on the exponent.

Theorem 1 is deduced from the following general proposition. Let  $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a flow acting on a probability space  $(X, \mu)$ , preserving  $\mu$ , and such that the flow is ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ . Let  $F = (f_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a family of functions  $f_t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $F: [0, +\infty[ \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is measurable. Such a family will be said to be **decreasing** if for any positive real numbers  $s \geq t$  then  $f_s \leq f_t$ , and **positive** if  $f_t \geq 0$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . Denote by  $L^p(\mu)$  the space of measurable functions of integrable  $p$ -power, endowed with the classical  $L^p$  norm. We will write

$$S_T[F](x) = \int_0^T f_t(\phi^t x) dt, \quad I_T[F] = \int_0^T \left( \int_X f_t d\mu \right) dt.$$

PROPOSITION 1: Let  $p$  be in  $]1, +\infty[$ ,  $F = (f_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a measurable, positive and decreasing family of functions such that  $f_t$  is in  $L^p(\mu)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Assume that  $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} I_T[F] = +\infty$ , and that  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  remains bounded in  $L^p$  norm as  $T$  goes to infinity. Then  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  converges weakly in  $L^p(\mu)$  to the constant function 1, and there exists  $c \in [1, +\infty]$ , such that for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x$  in  $X$ , we have

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_T[F](x)}{I_T[F]} = c.$$

Proposition 1 fails for  $p = 1$ ; see [CK01], Proposition 1.6 and remark after. Note that it is possible to deduce from Fatou’s Lemma (see [KM99], 2.3, and

Lemma 3) that if  $F$  is a measurable, positive and decreasing family of  $L^1(\mu)$ -functions such that  $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} I_T[F] = +\infty$ , then we have

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_T[F](x)}{I_T[F]} \leq 1.$$

As in the Borel–Cantelli Lemma, the divergence case is the difficult one. We show that for some functions  $f_t$  on  $T^1V$  related to the balls  $B_t$ ,  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  remains bounded in  $L^2$  norm; then apply the preceding proposition to conclude. The arguments to bound the  $L^2$ -norm are purely geometrical, and do not involve the rate of mixing of the geodesic flow, unlike [KM99], but however make use of the very particular symmetries of rank 1 globally symmetric spaces. In the case when one replaces  $f_t$  in the proof by the characteristic function of the unitary tangent space of the ball  $B_t$ , one obtains the following

**PROPOSITION 2:** *Let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a decreasing sequence of closed balls in  $V$ , of radius  $(r_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Then for  $\mu$ -almost every (resp.  $\mu$ -almost no)  $v$  in  $T^1V$ , the set*

$$\{t \geq 0 : \pi(\phi^t v) \in B_t\}$$

*is of infinite Lebesgue measure (resp. finite Lebesgue measure) provided  $\int_0^\infty r_t^n dt$  diverges (resp. converges).*

As a final remark, we wish to underline that the exponent  $n - 1$  in Theorem 1 is related to the dimension, and not to the critical exponent of the fundamental group, as one can see by considering the non-real hyperbolic spaces.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** This article is part of the author's PhD thesis [Ma02]. He would like to thank Livio Flaminio for constant encouragement, Frédéric Paulin for many useful comments and François Ledrappier for pointing out an error in a previous version of this paper.

## 2. Proof of Proposition 1

We will need the following sequence of Lemmas. We refer to [Ru75] for facts about measure theory.

**LEMMA 1:** *Let  $F = (f_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a measurable and positive family of functions. Then if*

$$I_\infty[F] = \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_X f_t d\mu \right) dt < +\infty,$$

we have that  $S_T[F]$  tends everywhere and in  $L^1$ -norm toward a positive and  $L^1$  function.

*Proof:* Put  $S_\infty[F](x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} S_T[F](x)$ , which exists because  $S_T[F]$  is increasing in  $T$ . From Lebesgue's monotone convergence Theorem,  $S_\infty[F]$  is in  $L^1(\mu)$  and

$$\int_X S_\infty[F] d\mu = I_\infty[F].$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem allows us to conclude that the convergence occurs in  $L^1$ -norm. ■

We recall that, if two reals  $p > 1$  and  $q > 1$  are such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , the two Banach spaces  $L^p(\mu)$  and  $L^q(\mu)$  are dual to each other, and that a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 0}$  in  $L^p(\mu)$  converges weakly to  $g$  means that for every  $h$  in  $L^q(\mu)$ ,  $\int_X g_n h d\mu$  tends to  $\int_X g h d\mu$  as  $n$  tends to infinity.

LEMMA 2: Let  $F = (f_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a measurable, positive and decreasing family of functions, and assume that  $f_0$  is in  $L^p(\mu)$ . If  $I_\infty[F] = +\infty$ , then every weak limit in  $L^p(\mu)$  of  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  as  $T$  tends to infinity is the constant function equal to 1.

*Proof:* Let  $h$  be a weak limit in  $L^p(\mu)$  of a subsequence  $S_{T_n}[F]/I_{T_n}[F]$ . Let  $t > 0$  be fixed. We wish to prove first that  $h \leq h \circ \phi^t$ . We have

$$S_{T_n+t}[F](x) - S_{T_n}[F](x) = \int_{T_n}^{T_n+t} f_s(\phi^s x) ds \leq \int_0^t f_0(\phi^s(\phi^{T_n}(x))) ds.$$

So this difference is positive and bounded in  $L^p$ -norm. Dividing it by  $I_{T_n}[F]$ , we obtain that  $S_{T_n+t}[F](x)/I_{T_n}[F]$  converges weakly to  $h$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{T+t}[F](x) - S_T[F](\phi^t x) &= \int_0^{T+t} f_s(\phi^s x) ds - \int_0^T f_s(\phi^{t+s} x) ds \\ &= \int_0^t f_s(\phi^s x) ds + \int_0^T (f_{s+t} - f_s)(\phi^{t+s} x) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_t$  is decreasing in  $t$ , we have

$$S_{T+t}[F](x) - S_T[F](\phi^t x) \leq \int_0^t f_s(\phi^s x) ds,$$

and when dividing by  $I_{T_n}[F]$  and replacing  $T$  by  $T_n$ , we obtain

$$\frac{S_{T_n+t}[F](x)}{I_{T_n}[F]} - \frac{S_{T_n}[F](\phi^t x)}{I_{T_n}[F]} \leq \frac{S_t[F](x)}{I_{T_n}[F]}.$$

Let  $E$  be the set of  $x$  such that  $h(x) \geq h(\phi^t x)$ . We can write

$$\int_E \frac{S_{T_n+t}[F](x)}{I_{T_n}[F]} - \frac{S_{T_n}[F](\phi^t x)}{I_{T_n}[F]} d\mu(x) \leq \frac{\int_E S_t[F](x) dx}{I_{T_n}[F]},$$

and since the characteristic function of the set  $E$  is in  $L^q(\mu)$ , we obtain as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  the inequality

$$\int_E h(x) - h(\phi^t x) d\mu(x) \leq 0.$$

From the definition of  $E$ , this means that  $h \leq h \circ \phi^t$  almost everywhere. Because of the invariance of  $\mu$  and that  $h$  is in  $L^p(\mu)$ , this implies that  $h = h \circ \phi^t$  almost everywhere. Since the flow is ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ ,  $h$  is constant (almost everywhere). On the other hand,  $\int_X S_T[F]/I_T[F] d\mu = 1$ , so  $h = 1$  almost everywhere. ■

LEMMA 3: Let  $F = (f_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a measurable, positive and decreasing family of functions, and suppose that  $f_0$  is in  $L^1(\mu)$ . Assuming  $I_\infty[F] = +\infty$ , then the function from  $X$  to  $[0, +\infty]$

$$L(x) = \limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_T[F](x)}{I_T[F]}$$

is constant almost everywhere. Moreover, the function from  $X$  to  $[0, +\infty]$

$$l(x) = \liminf_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_T[F](x)}{I_T[F]}$$

is constant almost everywhere, and this constant is in  $[0, 1]$ .

*Proof:* We have for any  $t > 0$  and  $T \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{T+t}[F](x) - S_T[F](\phi^t x) &= \int_0^{T+t} f_s(\phi^s x) ds - \int_0^T f_s(\phi^{t+s} x) ds \\ &= \int_0^t f_s(\phi^s x) ds + \int_0^T (f_{s+t} - f_s)(\phi^{t+s} x) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $G^{(t)} = (g_s^{(t)})_{s \geq 0}$  the family of functions defined by

$$\forall s \geq 0, \quad g_s^{(t)} = f_s - f_{s+t}.$$

The above equation can be rewritten

$$S_{T+t}[F](x) - S_T[F](\phi^t x) = S_t[F](x) - S_T[G^{(t)}](\phi^t x).$$

Since  $F$  is decreasing,  $G^{(t)}$  is positive, and

$$I_\infty[G^{(t)}] = I_t[F] < \infty.$$

From Lemma 1,  $S_T[G^{(t)}](x)$  has a finite limit  $H^{(t)}(x)$  as  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  for almost every  $x$ , which is moreover in  $L^1(\mu)$ . So

$$\frac{S_T[F](x)}{I_T[F]} \geq \frac{I_{T+t}[F]}{I_T[F]} \frac{S_{T+t}[F](x)}{I_{T+t}(x)} - \frac{S_T[F](\phi^t x)}{I_T[F]} \geq -\frac{H^{(t)}(\phi^t x)}{I_T[F]}.$$

Since  $F$  is a decreasing family and  $I_\infty[F] = +\infty$ , it follows that  $I_{T+t}[F]/I_T[F]$  goes to 1 as  $T$  goes to infinity. From the last equation, we deduce that for any  $x$  such that  $H^{(t)}(x) < \infty$ , we have

$$L(x) = L(\phi^t x).$$

If  $t \leq 1$ , then  $H^{(t)} \leq H^{(1)}$ . The sets  $L^{-1}([a, b])$  are invariant under  $\phi^t$  for any  $t$  in  $[0, 1]$  and  $a < b$ , apart from a set of 0 measure, because  $H^{(1)}$  is finite almost everywhere. Because  $\mu$  is ergodic, the sets  $L^{-1}([a, b])$  are of measure 0 or 1. A dichotomy on the intervals  $[a, b]$  then shows that  $L$  must be constant almost everywhere. The proof that  $l$  is constant almost everywhere is identical. Like in [KM99], by the Fatou Lemma

$$\int_X l(x) d\mu \leq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X S_T[F](x) d\mu(x) \right) / I_T[F] = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

*Proof of Proposition 1:* Let  $h$  be any function in  $L^q(\mu)$ . The family of integrals

$$J(T) = \int_X h(x) S_T[F](x) / I_T[F] d\mu(x)$$

is bounded, since  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  is also bounded in  $L^p$ -norm. If one takes any sequence  $T_n$  that goes to infinity, such that  $J(T)$  has a limit  $l$ , then by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem one can find a subsequence  $T_{\phi(n)}$  such that  $S_{T_{\phi(n)}}[F]/I_{T_{\phi(n)}}[F]$  has a weak limit  $g$  in  $L^p(\mu)$ . From Lemma 2,  $g = 1$ . Then  $l = \int_X h d\mu$  necessarily, and so  $J(T)$  goes to  $\int_X h d\mu$  as  $T$  tends to infinity. Since this is valid for any  $h$  in  $L^q(\mu)$ , this means exactly that  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  converges weakly to 1 as  $T$  goes to infinity. On the other hand, Lemma 3 implies that  $L(x) = \limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} S_T[F](x)/I_T[F]$  is constant almost everywhere. Let  $c$  be this constant. For a contradiction, suppose that  $c < 1$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a set  $E \subset X$  of positive measure, such that for any  $x$  in  $E$ , and  $T \geq 1/\epsilon$ , we have  $S_T[F](x) < (1 - \epsilon)I_T[F]$ . Fix such an  $\epsilon$ , and

let  $1_E$  be the characteristic function of  $E$ . It belongs to  $L^q(\mu)$  and so we have that  $\int_E S_T[F]/I_T[F]d\mu$  goes to  $\mu(E)$  as  $T$  goes to infinity. But we can also show that these integrals are less than  $(1 - \epsilon)\mu(E)$  provided that  $T > 1/\epsilon$ . This is a contradiction, and so  $c \geq 1$ . ■

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The universal cover  $\tilde{V}$  of  $V$  is homothetic to the real hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^d$  of real dimension  $d$ , complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^d$  of real dimension  $2d$ , quaternionic hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^d$  of real dimension  $4d$ , or the Cayley hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}_a}^2$  of real dimension 16 (see [Mo73] for a description of these spaces). We denote by  $\delta$  the volume entropy of  $\tilde{V}$ , which is

$$\delta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\text{Vol}(B(o, t)))}{t}.$$

In fact, the volume of balls of radius  $t$  and the area of spheres of radius  $t$  are both equivalent to some constant times  $\exp(\delta t)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . It is not necessary for what follows to normalize the sectional curvature of  $\tilde{V} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  between  $-4$  and  $-1$ , but if this is the case and  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\delta = d - 1 = n - 1$  in the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  and the curvature is  $-1$ ,  $\delta = n - 2 + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{K}$  if  $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{R}$ .

We recall that  $T^1\tilde{V} = \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the space of oriented, unpointed geodesics, and the geodesic flow  $\phi^t$  acts on  $\phi^t(g, s) = (g, s + t)$ ; in case one writes  $\mathcal{G} = \partial\tilde{V} \times \partial\tilde{V} - \text{diag}$ , this is simply the *Hopf decomposition*. Let  $\nu$  be the measure on  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mu = \nu \otimes dt$ . The action of the geodesic flow on  $T^1V$  is ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ , and since  $V$  is of finite volume, we can freely normalize  $\mu$  to be a probability measure.

The following two estimates will be the main ingredients of the proof. Note that the first one is the only point where the symmetric space assumption is used.

**LEMMA 4:** *There exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that, for any two balls in  $\tilde{V}$  of respective center and radius  $(o, r)$ ,  $(o', r')$  that satisfy  $r < 1$ ,  $r' < 1$ , and  $d(o, o') > 2$ , then the  $\nu$ -measure of geodesics which intersects those two balls is less than*

$$c_1 r^{n-1} r'^{n-1} \exp(-\delta d(o, o')).$$

*Proof:* Let  $R = d(o, o')$ . Consider the hyperbolic sphere  $S(o, R)$  centered on  $o$  of radius  $R$ . There exists a constant  $c > 0$ , independent of  $R$ , such that one can find  $k \geq c r'^{n-1} \exp(\delta R)$  points  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  on  $S$  satisfying that the balls  $B(p_i, 2r')$  of center  $p_i$  and radius  $2r'$  are disjoint. Then the measures  $m_i$  of the geodesics

which intersect both  $B(o, r)$  and  $B(p_i, r')$  are all equal, because there exists an isometry that sends  $o$  to  $o$  and  $p_i$  to  $p_j$  for all  $i, j$  (hyperbolic spaces are *2-points homogeneous*), and are equal to the measure  $m$  we are interested in. Let  $M$  be the measure of geodesics which intersect  $B(o, r)$ . Any geodesic intersecting  $B(o, r)$  intersects at most two balls  $B(p_i, r')$ , so one has the inequality  $km \leq 2M$ . Since  $M$  is less than some constant times  $r^{n-1}$ , the result follows from the lower bound on  $k$ . ■

LEMMA 5 ([Su79]): *For any discrete group  $\Gamma$  of isometries of  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{V}$  is of finite volume, for any  $p$  in  $V$ , there exists a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that for any  $T \geq 0$ ,*

$$|\{\gamma \in \Gamma : d(p, \gamma p) \leq T\}| \leq c_2 \exp(\delta T). \quad \blacksquare$$

The fundamental group  $\Gamma = \pi_1(V)$  can be seen as a subgroup of the isometry group of  $\tilde{V}$ . For a point  $p$  in a Riemannian manifold  $W$ , let us write  $i_W(p)$  for the injectivity radius of  $p$  in  $W$ . Given a real number  $R > 0$ , a ball  $B$  of center  $o$  and radius  $r$ , containing a point  $p$ , in a Riemannian manifold  $W$ , with  $0 < r \leq R \leq i_W(p)/4$ , we define for any  $v$  in  $T^1W$ ,

$$H_B(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d(\pi(\phi^\theta v), o) \leq r, \text{ for some } \theta \in [-R/2, R/2], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From now on, we can (and we will) assume that the radius  $r_t$  of the ball  $B_t$  goes to 0 as  $t$  tends to infinity, because otherwise  $\bigcap_{t \geq 0} B_t$  would contain a ball, and the result follows from Birkhoff's ergodic Theorem. Then, necessarily,  $\bigcap_{t \geq 0} B_t$  is a point  $p$  in  $V$ . We fix  $R$  to be the minimum between  $i_V(p)/4$  and 1. Moreover, one can assume that  $r_t$  is strictly less than  $R$  for any  $t \geq 0$ ; it will be convenient to define  $r_t$  to be  $r_0$  for all  $t$  negative. Let  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$  be lifts of  $p$ ,  $B_t$ , such that  $\tilde{p}$  is contained in  $\tilde{B}_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

We define  $f_t = H_{B_t}$  for this  $R$  and  $W = V$ , and  $g_t = H_{\tilde{B}_t}$  for this  $R$  and  $W = \tilde{V}$ . Thus, the lift  $\tilde{f}_t$  of  $f_t$  to  $T^1\tilde{V}$  satisfies

$$\tilde{f}_t = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} g_t \circ \gamma.$$

The family of functions  $F = (f_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $T^1V$  is measurable, positive, and decreasing because the family of balls  $B_t$  is decreasing. Moreover, it can be seen easily that  $\int_{T^1V} f_t d\mu$  is equivalent as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  to  $r_t^{n-1}$  up to a multiplicative constant depending on  $n$  and  $R$  only, and thus  $I_T[F]$  is equivalent up to a multiplicative constant to  $\int_0^T r_t^{n-1} dt$ , provided any of these two functions tends to infinity as  $T$  goes to infinity.



The main argument is

LEMMA 6: *The  $L^2$ -norm of  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  is bounded for all  $T \geq 1$ .*

*Proof:* Using Fubini's Theorem, one can write for any  $T \geq 0$ , any  $v$  in  $T^1V$ ,

$$S_T[F](v)^2 = 2 \int_0^T \int_0^s f_t(\phi^t v) f_s(\phi^s v) dt ds.$$

Let us integrate over  $T^1V$ , and then make a change of variables  $w = \phi^s v$ . We obtain

$$\int_{T^1V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv = 2 \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_{T^1V} f_s(w) f_t(\phi^{t-s} w) dw dt ds.$$

Let  $D$  be a strict fundamental domain of  $\tilde{V}$  for  $\Gamma$ , such that  $D$  contains the ball of center  $\tilde{p}$ , radius  $3R$ , and  $T^1D = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(D)$ .

$$\int_{T^1V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv = 2 \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_{T^1D} \tilde{f}_s(w) \tilde{f}_t(\phi^{t-s} w) dw ds dt.$$

The choice of  $D$  insures that for all  $w$  in  $T^1D$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $\tilde{f}_s(w) = g_s(w)$  because  $g_s$  has support contained in  $D$ , and so in the sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} g_t(\gamma(w))$ , all terms but the one corresponding to  $\gamma = id$  are zero. So we have

$$\int_{T^1V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv = 2 \int_0^T \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_0^s \int_{T^1D} g_s(w) g_t(\gamma \phi^{t-s} w) dw dt ds.$$

Putting  $v = -w$ , and using the symmetry of the functions  $g_t$ , we deduce that

$$\int_{T^1V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv = 2 \int_0^T \int_{T^1D} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_0^s g_s(v) g_t(\gamma \phi^{s-t} v) dv dt ds.$$

For any positive integer  $i$ , we define  $\Gamma_i$  to be the finite set of  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $d(\tilde{p}, \gamma \tilde{p})$  is in the interval  $[i-1, i+1]$ . Since the union of these sets is  $\Gamma$  itself, we have

$$\int_{T^1V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv \leq 2 \int_0^T \sum_{i>0} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \int_0^s \int_{T^1D} g_s(v) g_t(\gamma \phi^{s-t} v) dv dt ds.$$

Let us analyse when the integrated function can be strictly positive. For fixed  $\gamma, v, s$  and  $t$ , the quantity

$$g_s(v) g_t(\gamma \phi^{s-t} v)$$

is not zero if and only if there exists some  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [-R/2, R/2]^2$  such that  $\pi(\phi^{\theta_1}v)$  is in  $\tilde{B}_s$ , and  $\pi(\phi^{\theta_2+s-t}v)$  is in  $\gamma^{-1}\tilde{B}_t$ .

In this case, the quantity above is equal to 1 and one can state, in virtue of the triangle inequality, that

$$(1) \quad |(s-t) - d(\tilde{p}, \gamma\tilde{p})| < |\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + 2r_t + 2r_s \leq 5R,$$

and moreover that the geodesic ray  $(\phi^u v)_{u \geq -1}$  intersects the ball of center  $\gamma^{-1}\tilde{p}$  and radius  $2r_{s-d(\tilde{p}, \gamma\tilde{p})-5R}$  (this is because  $r_t$  is decreasing), and intersects also the ball of center  $\tilde{p}$  and radius  $2r_s$ .

So, for fixed  $s, v$  and  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma_i$ , Equation (1) implies that  $g_s(v)g_t(\gamma\phi^{s-t}v)$  is zero when  $t$  is outside the interval  $[s-d(\tilde{p}, \gamma\tilde{p})-5R, s-d(\tilde{p}, \gamma\tilde{p})+5R]$ , of length  $10R$ . So we have

$$(2) \quad \int_0^s g_s(v)g_t(\gamma\phi^{s-t}v)dt \leq 10R,$$

in all cases, and this integral vanishes if the geodesic generated by  $v$  does not intersect the two balls  $B(\tilde{p}, 2r_s)$ ,  $B(\gamma^{-1}\tilde{p}, 2r_{s-i-5R-1})$ , or if  $s < i - (5R+1)$ . If moreover  $i \geq 5R+3$ , then  $s-t \geq 2$  by (1), and by Lemma 4 the measure of the set of such geodesics is bounded by  $c_1 4^{n-1} r_s^{n-1} r_{s-i-5R-1}^{n-1} e^{-\delta(i-1)}$ . Since on a geodesic, the interval of vectors  $v$  such that  $g_s(v) > 0$  is at most of length 3, we obtain when  $s$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$  are fixed and  $i \geq 5R+3$ ,

$$\int_{T^1 D} \int_0^s g_s(v)g_t(\gamma\phi^{s-t}v)dt dv \leq 30R 4^{n-1} c_1 r_s^{n-1} r_{s-i-5R-1}^{n-1} e^{-\delta(i-1)},$$

and this quantity is zero if  $s+5R+1 < i$ . Thus, if  $i \geq 5R+3$ , summing over  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma_i$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \int_{T^1 D} \int_0^s g_s(v)g_t(\gamma\phi^{s-t}v)dt dv \leq 30R 4^{n-1} c_1 r_s^{n-1} r_{s-i-5R-1}^{n-1} e^{-\delta(i-1)} N_i,$$

where we have written  $N_i$  for the number of elements of  $\Gamma_i$ ; moreover, the majorated sum does in fact vanish if  $s+5R+1 < i$ . From Lemma 5,  $N_i \leq c_2 e^{\delta(i+1)}$ . Let us write  $[x]$  for the integer part of any real number  $x$ . It follows that there exists some positive constant  $c_3$  (depending only on  $R$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \sum_{i>0} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \int_0^s \int_{T^1 D} g_s(v)g_t(\gamma\phi^{s-t}v)dv dt ds \\ \leq c_3 \int_0^T \left( \sum_{i=[5R+4]}^{[s+5R]+1} r_s^{n-1} r_{s-i-5R-1}^{n-1} \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^{[5R+3]} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \int_{T^1 D} \int_0^s g_s(v) g_t(\phi^{s-t} v) dt dv ds.$$

Observing that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{[5R+3]} \Gamma_i$  is a finite set, we write  $K$  its cardinal. Since  $(r_t)$  is decreasing,  $r_{s-i-5R-1}^{n-1} \leq \int_{s-i-5R-2}^{s-i-5R-1} r_t^{n-1} dt$ . Moreover, in Equation (2), we can freely multiply the upper bound by  $g_s(v)$ , because if  $g_s(v) = 0$  then the majorated integral vanishes, else  $g_s(v) = 1$ . This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^1 V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv &\leq 2c_3 \int_0^T r_s^{n-1} \sum_{i=[5R+4]}^{[s+5R+1]} \int_{s-i-5R-2}^{s-i-5R-1} r_t^{n-1} dt ds \\ &\quad + 2K \int_0^T \int_{T^1 D} 10R g_s(v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_{T^1 D} g_s(v) dv$  is equivalent up to a multiplicative constant to  $r_s^{n-1}$  as  $s$  tends to infinity, there exists some positive constant  $c_4$ , depending only on  $R$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^1 V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv &\leq 2c_3 \int_0^T r_s^{n-1} \int_{-10R-4}^{s-10R-4} r_t^{n-1} dt ds + c_4 \int_0^T r_t^{n-1} dt \\ &\leq 2c_3 \int_0^T r_s^{n-1} \int_{-10R-4}^s r_t^{n-1} dt ds + c_4 \int_0^T r_t^{n-1} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int_{T^1 V} S_T[F](v)^2 dv \leq c_3 \left( \int_0^T r_s^{n-1} ds \right)^2 + (2(10R+4)c_3 r_0 + c_4) \left( \int_0^T r_s^{n-1} ds \right).$$

Since  $I_T[F]$  is equivalent up to a multiplicative constant to  $\int_0^T r_t^{n-1} dt$  as  $T$  tends to infinity, we conclude that  $S_T[F]/I_T[F]$  is bounded in  $L^2$ -norm. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1:*

CONVERGENCE CASE: We assume  $\int_0^{+\infty} r_t^{n-1} dt < +\infty$ . For any  $w \in T^1 V$  and  $s \geq 0$ , if  $\pi(w)$  is in  $B_s$ , then from the definition of  $f_s$  we have

$$\int_{-R}^R f_s(\phi^t w) dt \geq R.$$

Since  $F = (f_s)_{s \geq 0}$  is decreasing, we can write, after a change of variable  $u = t + s - R$ , that

$$\int_{s-2R}^s f_u(\phi^{u-s+R} w) du \geq R,$$

and so if  $\pi(\phi^s v)$  is in  $B_s$ , we have

$$\int_{s-2R}^s f_t(\phi^{t+R}v)dt \geq R.$$

However, Lemma 1 states that for almost every  $v$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty f_t(\phi^t v)dt < \infty,$$

and so for a set of full measure, we can rewrite it

$$\int_0^\infty f_t(\phi^t(\phi^R v))dt < \infty,$$

and by the preceding assertion, this implies that for  $t$  sufficiently large and  $v$  in a set of full measure,  $\pi(\phi^t v)$  is not in  $B_t$ .

**DIVERGENCE CASE:** We assume  $\int_0^{+\infty} r_t^{n-1} dt = +\infty$ . Let  $v$  in  $T^1V$  be such that there exists some  $T > 0$ , such that for all  $t \geq T$ ,  $\pi(\phi^t v)$  is not in  $B_t$ . Since the balls  $B_t$  are decreasing, we have that for any  $s \geq t \geq T$ ,  $\pi(\phi^t v) \notin B_s$ . In particular, if  $t \geq T + R$  and  $t \in [s, s + R]$ ,  $\pi(\phi^{t-R} v) \notin B_s$ . From the definition of  $f_s$ , this implies that  $f_s(\phi^{s-R/2} v) = 0$ , for all  $s \geq T + R$ . This shows that  $S_\infty[F](\phi^{-R/2} v) < +\infty$ , provided the geodesic ray  $(\phi^t v)_{t \geq 0}$  intersects  $B_t$  for bounded times  $t$  only. But from Lemma 6 and Proposition 1, we have that  $S_\infty[F](v) = +\infty$  for almost every  $v$ . So the preceding situation may happen for a set of  $v$  of null measure only. ■

*Proof of Corollary 1:* We consider the family of balls centered on  $p$  of radius  $r_t = (t + 1)^{-1/(n-1)-\epsilon}$  with arbitrary small  $\epsilon > 0$ , and use the convergence case of Theorem 1 to obtain the upper bound  $1/(n - 1) + \epsilon$ . Then we use the divergence case applied to  $r_t = (t + 1)^{-1/(n-1)}$  in order to obtain the lower bound  $1/(n - 1)$ . ■

## References

- [CK01] N. Chernov and D. Kleinbock, *Dynamical Borel–Cantelli Lemma for Gibbs measures*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **122** (2001), 1–27.
- [CR98] J. P. Conze and A. Raugi, *Convergence des potentiels pour un opérateur de transfert, applications aux systèmes dynamiques et aux chaînes de Markov*, Fascicule de probabilités, Publications de l’Institut de Recherche Mathématique de Rennes, 1998, 52p.

- [CR03] J. P. Conze and A. Raugi, *Convergence of iterates of a transfer operator, application to dynamical systems and to Markov chains*, ESAIM Probability and Statistics **7** (2003), 115–146.
- [Dol04] D. Dolgopyat, *Limit theorems for partially hyperbolic systems*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **356** (2004), 1637–1689.
- [He78] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 2002.
- [HP01] S. Hersonsky and F. Paulin, *Hausdorff dimension of diophantine geodesics in negatively curved manifolds*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **539** (2001), 29–43.
- [KM99] D. Y. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, *Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces*, Inventiones Mathematicae **138** (1999), 415–494.
- [Ma02] F. Maucourant, *Approximation diophantienne, dynamique des chambres de Weyl et répartition d’orbites de réseaux*, Thesis, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, 2002.
- [Mo73] G. D. Mostow, *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, 1973.
- [Ru75] W. Rudin, *Analyse réelle et complexe*, Masson, Paris, 1975.
- [Su79] D. Sullivan, *The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions*, Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques **50** (1979), 171–202.
- [Su82] D. Sullivan, *Disjoint spheres, approximation by quadratic numbers and the logarithm law for geodesics*, Acta Mathematica **149** (1982), 215–237.